1 Mark I. Harrison, No. 001226 OSBORN MALEDON 2 2929 North Central Avenue 3 Twenty-First Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012-2793 4 Phone: (602) 640-9324 5 Fax: (602) 640-9050 Email: mharrison@omlaw.com 6 7 IN THE SUPREME COURT 8 9 STATE OF ARIZONA 10 In the Matter of: Supreme Court No. R-11-0033 11 PETITION TO AMEND ER 3.8 OF **COMMENT OF LAWYERS IN** 12 THE ARIZONA RULES OF SUPPORT OF PETITION TO 13 PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT (RULE AMEND ER 3.8 OF THE 42 OF THE ARIZONA RULES OF ARIZONA RULES OF 14 SUPREME COURT) PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT 15 16 17 Pursuant to Rule 28 of the Arizona Rules of Supreme Court, the 18 undersigned attorneys hereby file this comment in response to the Order issued by this Court on August 28, 2013 Reopening the Comment Period on the 19 Petition to Amend Ethical Rule (ER) 3.8 of the Arizona Rules of Professional 20 Conduct. We write to support the Court's current proposal to improve the 21 22 ethical rules in this important area. In response to troubling lack of guidance currently supplied by the Model 23 Rules of Professional Conduct in cases of wrongful conviction, the Criminal 24 25 Justice Section of the ABA, together with ten additional organizational co-26 sponsors, drafted an amendment to Model Rule 3.8 in 2008. Importantly, the

ABA participants were diverse—including prosecutors, judges, professors, and

27

28

defenders. The resulting amendment overwhelmingly passed the House of Delegates without any opposition or debate.¹

This Court's proposed, revised rule incorporates the essence of the ABA 2008 amendment, and we simply write to support the Court's current, proposed revision. Commenting prosecutors have said that they do not need a rule because they already follow essentially the same steps now clearly articulated in the Court's rule. Indeed, good prosecutors do follow similar steps.² For this reason, there would appear to be no legitimate controversy if the Court's proposed, revised rule is adopted.

CONCLUSION

The Court should adopt its proposed rule. Justice is not the product of a liberal or conservative agenda, and it is not pro-prosecution or pro-defense. It is indisputable that wrongful convictions regrettably occur in the criminal justice system, and Arizona's ethics rules currently provide very little post-conviction guidance to prosecutors. The Court's proposed rule pays overdue attention to the second half of the prosecutor's "twofold aim"—"that guilt shall not escape or innocence suffer."

Similarly, although its suggested language differed in several respects, our State Bar voted unanimously to urge this Court to adopt a rule requiring prosecutors to disclose evidence of wrongful convictions and, in appropriate circumstances, to inquire into the conviction and seek to vacate it. Therefore, both the ABA and the State Bar are in agreement in principle.

As just two examples: (1) Wisconsin was the first state to adopt the rule, and its own Wisconsin District Attorneys Association filed the rule change petition, and (2) the National District Attorneys Association adopted an ethical standard similar in principle to the proposed rule.

Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78, 88 (1935) (emphasis added). As this Court has observed, the "prosecutor's interest in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done." *In re Peasley*, 90 P.3d 764, 772–73 (Ariz. 2004) (internal quotation omitted).

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 15th day of October, 2013.
2	
3	By <u>/s/ Mark I. Harrison</u> Mark I. Harrison , Esq.
4	OSBORN MALEDON*
5	/s/ Terry Goddard
6	/s/ Terry Goddard Terry Goddard, Esq. SNR DENTON*
7	/s/ Grant Woods
8	Grant Woods, Esq.
9	GRANT WOODS LAW*
10	/s/ Stanley G. Feldman
11	Chief Justice Stanley G. Feldman (ret.) HARALSON MILLER PITT FELDMAN &
12	McAnally PLC*
13	/s/ Frank X. Gordon
14	Chief Justice Frank X. Gordon (ret.)
15	/s/ Charles E. Jones
16	Chief Justice Charles E. "Bud" Jones (ret.)
17	/s/ Robert D. Myers
18	Hon. Robert D. Myers (ret.)
19	/s/ Thomas A. Zlaket
20	Chief Justice Thomas A. Zlaket (ret.)
21	
22	Electronic convenient of the Clork
23	Electronic copy filed with the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Arizona
24	this 15th day of October, 2013.
25	
26	*
27	Institutional designations are for identification purposes only.
28	3

1	Copies of this Comment mailed this 15th day of October, 2013, to:
2	uns 13th day of October, 2013, to.
3	Larry Hammond ARIZONA JUSTICE PROJECT
4	c/o Sandra Day O'Connor College of Law
5	PO Box 875920
6	Tempe, Arizona 85287-5920 Email: lhammond@omlaw.com
7	Waith Cariahan
8	Keith Swisher PHOENIX SCHOOL OF LAW*
9	One North Central Avenue
10	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Email: kswisher@phoenixlaw.edu
11	
12	Karen Wilkinson
13	OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER* 850 West Adams Street
14	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2730
15	Email: Karen_Wilkinson@fd.org
16	Petitioners
17	/s/ Joni J. Jarrett-Mason
-,	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	4